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Abstract: The purpose of this research is to examine the interpretation of constitutional judges regarding the age limit for marriage which is considered to contain compatibility and efforts to harmonize sharia and human rights principles in their decisions. This is motivated by child marriage tends to ignore the rights of children and women. Unfortunately, this practice is often affirmed by religious understanding and even state law. The research method used is normative juridical using secondary data. As for this research will analyze the decision of the Constitutional Court Number 22/PUU-XV/2017. This research will show the conflict between the interpretation of sharia - which has been the authoritative area of religious leaders - and the principles of Human Rights (HAM). Meanwhile, the interpretation of the judges of the Constitutional Court seems to contain a discourse on the compatibility and harmony of sharia and human rights. This research per reflects the approach of the Constitutional Court and the strategy of the petitioners in an effort to review the constitutionality of the minimum age for marriage as stated in the Marriage Law. The various approaches and arguments put forward show that the applicant made a strategic decision by trying to break a patriarchal culture and injustice before the Constitutional Court.
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The Introduction

20 April 2017, a group of people (Wasrinah, Maryanti and Rasminah) sued Article 7 of Law Number 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage to the Constitutional Court. The minimum age limit for marriage in this provision is considered to have an impact on the prevalence of child marriages. Data from the Indonesian Child Protection Commission (KPAI) states that from 2011 to 2018, 101 children have been victims, 79 of whom are women (CNN Indonesia, 2018). This rule shows that the state seems to allow child marriages to occur which tend to be discriminatory and detrimental to women, as well as depriving children of the rights of who are. reserved. Article 7 of this marriage laws had also been proposed judicial review earlierly six (6)social organizations that are concerned in defense of the rights of children and women. However, in the previous decision, the Constitutional Court rejected the request. Although, of the nine constitutional judges, one judge expressed a dissenting opinion.

The Compilation of Islamic Law (KHI), which is a codified book of Islamic law in Indonesia, also strengthens the provisions contained in the
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Marriage Law above. The minimum age for marriage itself is not explicitly stated in Islamic tradition or sharia provisions. The provisions that apply in general are only the entry of age baligh (al-Jaziri, 2003: 313-314). Some jurists/Islamic jurists even argue that the provisions for baligh cannot be used as guidelines for determining the age limit for marriage. That is, a person can be married with the consent of his parents, even though he is not yet an adult (al-Jaziri, 2003: 161). This view is mostly based on the history of Aisyah RA. married to the Prophet Muhammad SAW. at the age of 6 and started living with him at the age of 9. These events allegedly strong be the cause of the phenomenon of child marriage tradition rooted in the lands has a Muslim majority population, where the marriage of the Prophet SAW. With Aisyah being used as a model by some Muslims without paying attention to the situation and context of the event (Hanafi, 2016). Religious understanding and its embodiment into state regulations like this are a factor significant causing the rise of child marriage in Indonesia (Rofiah & Kustini, 2013).

Sharia, which has been the area of authoritative interpretation of religious leaders in this context, seems to have clashed with human rights principles that are protected in a democracy. Every country that has ratified the international convention on human rights has also ratified the convention on the rights of the child into the rule of law of the country. In the Indonesian context, the protection of children's rights as part of human rights is affirmed in Law Number 39 of 1999 concerning Human Rights in Chapter III, Part Ten. In the provisions consisting of 15 articles, article 52 paragraph (2) states that children's rights are part of human rights that are recognized and protected by law (Copies of Law Number 39 of 1999 concerning Human Rights). Various constitutional rights of children listed in various laws and regulations have allegedly been violated by the provisions on the age limit for marriage.

By bringing this matter to the Constitutional Court, the petitioners in this case want to break the injustice and patriarchal culture that has legality in state norms. Endang Wasrinah, the first applicant, was married at the age of 14 by her parents. As a result, he, who was still in junior high school, had to drop out of school. Maryanti, the second applicant, was also married at the age of 14. He was not even able to finish his education at the elementary school level. As for Rasminah, the third applicant, her parents married when she was 13 years old after graduated from elementary school (Copies of the Decision of the Constitutional Court Number 22/PUU-XV/2017). Due to the child marriage experienced by these three applicants, as a result they do not get their rights as children and constitutional rights as citizens guaranteed by law, such as the right to get a proper education. In addition, the impact of health problems, in the form of reproductive and mental health is also felt by them. These three applicants judicial review are only a small part of the victims of child marriage who dare to speak out against the unfair and discriminatory treatment they experience.

The decision of the Constitutional Court to grant the petitions mentioned above is seen as a breath of fresh air in the midst of the frenzied debate that contradicts religious norms and the rule of state law. As a consequence of the decision of the Constitutional Court, Law Number 16 of
2019 was issued which amended the provisions of Article 7 of the Marriage Law. The minimum age limit for marriage which was previously 16 years for women and 19 years for men is changed to 19 years for both men and women in this provision (Copies of Law Number 16 of 2019 concerning Amendments to Law Number 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage). Therefore, this research is here to look at the interpretations of constitutional judges regarding the age limit for marriage which is considered to contain compatibility and efforts to harmonize sharia and human rights principles in their decisions. This research is considered important as a contribution to the development of sharia and human rights discourse and discourse in Indonesia.

Research Methods

In this study, the researcher uses a normative juridical approach, which is a study that uses data taken from library materials, either in the form of books, judges’ decisions, statutory regulations, journals, papers and so on. Researchers also use secondary data consisting of primary legal materials, secondary legal materials and tertiary legal materials. Meanwhile, for data collection, the researcher used literature study and document study.

Literature Review

Studies on the compatibility between sharia and human rights by using the Constitutional Court's decision on the age limit for marriage as a case study have never been done. Studies related to the discourse between sharia and human rights in Indonesia can be seen from a study conducted by Syamsul Arifin, et al. (Arifin et al., 2018). Entitled “The Intersection of Human Rights and Shari’ah in Indonesia”. This study seeks to find the meeting point of the discourse on human rights (HAM) and shari'ah in Indonesia which is often contradicted, both as a concept, and furthermore, as an instrument, which actually boils down to the human dimension. In addition, a study conducted by Abdul Latif (2012) entitled "Compatibility of Islamic Teachings with Human Rights". This study concludes that Islamic law is considered compatible with the universal declaration of human rights. According to this study, the conformity of sharia teachings and human rights can be seen in several human rights principles which are also contained in the values of sharia teachings such as freedom of religion, freedom of soul, freedom of expression to protection of property.

The study that examines the decision of the Constitutional Court, especially regarding the age limit for marriage, can be seen from the study conducted by Moch. Nurcholis (2020) entitled "Age of Marriage from the Maqashid Perspective of Marriage: A Study of the Minimum Age Requirements for Marriage After the Decision of the Constitutional Court Number 22/PUU-XV/2017". This study examines the academic basis for determining the legal age for marriage in Indonesia from the perspective of Islamic law and the correlation between equalizing the marriage age requirements in Indonesia for men and women with maqashid marriage. Another study was conducted by Nurohman (2019) entitled "Juridical Analysis of the Minimum Age Limit for Marriage (Study of Constitutional Court Decision Number: 22/PUU-XV/2017)". This study focuses on the juridical considerations of the panel of constitutional judges regarding the
judicial review of article 7 of the marriage law. This study concludes that based on juridical analysis, according to KHI and Article 27 paragraph (1) of the 1945 Constitution, the difference in the minimum age limit for marriage is not discriminatory because it contains benefits. Impact experienced by the applicants cannot be said to be a result of the application of the provisions on the difference in the minimum age limit for marriage as stipulated in Article 7 paragraph (1) of Law no. 1 of 1974, therefore, the considerations made by the Panel of Judges are considered irrelevant.

Discussion and Results

Age Limit for Marriage in Classical Jurisprudence

In terms of determining the age of marriage (minimum age for marriage), the mazhab scholars do not have an agreement (ijma') on this matter. The majority of scholars only agree that the condition of baligh is a sign of the ability to marry, because baligh is an indication of one's maturity. The scholars of the madhhab agree that the condition of puberty in men is marked by a dream with the discharge of semen, while for women it is marked by the discharge of menstrual blood. In the view of Hanafiyyah and Malikiyyah scholars, the condition of puberty is estimated to occur between the ages of 17 to 18 years. Meanwhile, according to the views of the Syafi'iyah scholars, the age of puberty usually occurs at the age of 15 years for men and 9 years for women. In line with Shafi'iyah, Hanabilah scholars stated that the age limit for puberty is 15 years for men and for women when they have menstruated, without mentioning a specific age limit (al-Jaziri, 2003).

As for the Imamiyah, the age of puberty for men is fifteen years and for women it is nine years. The opinion of this group is based on a hadith from Ibn Sinan which reads: "when a girl has reached the age of nine years, then her property is handed over to her, her business is considered permissible, and criminal law is carried out on her rights and against herself in full" (Ghazali, 2003: 56).

One of the foundations used by classical scholars, especially scholars of the Shafi'iyah school, in determining the age limit for marriage is a hadith narrated directly from Aisha which reads: "The Prophet married me when I was six years old and he had sexual intercourse with me when I was six years old. nine years" (Narrated by Bukhari, Muslim, Abu Dawud and An Nasa'i). This hadith is then famous among the Muslim community to this day. However, some scholars view that marriage under age as between the Prophet Muhammad with Aisyah who was still a child at that time could not be used as a general argument. In Ibn Hazm's view, a father is not allowed to marry off his immature daughter. The incident that occurred between the Prophet SAW. with Aisha, according to Ibn Hazm, is a specialty for the Prophet. Ibn Hazm's opinion is based on one of the purposes of marriage, namely to continue the offspring. Marriage between immature people will not produce offspring, or in other words, if the marriage is carried out by immature people, then the marriage will not achieve its purpose (Fatma, 2019: 120).

In line with Ibn Hazm, Ibn Subrumah stated that Islam actually forbids marriage at an early age (age before puberty/adulthood). According to him, the essential value of marriage is to fulfill biological needs and
perpetuate/care for offspring. While these two things are not fulfilled in children who are not yet adults. Ibn Subrumah approached this issue historically, sociologically, and culturally, so that in looking at the context of the Prophet's marriage. With Aisyah, who was still a child at that time, she saw it as a special right that the Prophet had obtained that could not be imitated by his people. Ibn Subrumah considers various things that form the basis of this provision, including the principle of benefit, social reality and paying attention to the weight of marital responsibilities (Hatta, 2016: 71-72).

The difference in determining the age limit for marriage by the classical scholars above is due to the absence of a text in the Qur'an that clearly states this provision. The provisions in the Qur'an are only general in nature that explain the eligibility of a person to marry (Asrori, 2015: 808). Among them is the letter al-Nisa verse 6 which reads:

وَابْتَلُىا الْيَتَامَى حَتَّىٰ إِذَا بَلَغُىا إلىِّكَاحَ فَإِنْ آوَسْتُمْ مِىْهُمْ رُشْدًا فَادْفَعُىا إِلَىْهِمْ أَمْىَالَهُمْ ۖ وَلَّ تَأْكُلُىهَا إِسْرَافًا وَبِدَارًا أَنْ يَكْبَرُوا ۚ وَمَهْ كَانَ غَيِّا فَلْيَسْتَعْفِفْ ۖ وَمَهْ كَانَ فَقِيرًا فَلْيَأْكُلْ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ ۚ فَإِذَا دَفَعْتُمْ إِلَىْهِمْ أَمْىَالَهُمْ فَأَشْهِدُوا عَلَيْهِمْ ۚ وَكَفَىٰ بِاللََّ حَسِيبًا

"balagal-marriage" in the verse is then interpreted differently by scholars. Al-Syabuni in his interpretation of al-ahkam mentions this condition of puberty with physical signs (good dreams for men and menstruation for women) as explained by most scholars (al-Syabuni, 1999: 153).

In addition, there is also in the letter al-Nur, verse 32, which reads:

وَأَوْكِحُىا الَّْْيَامَى مِىْكُمْ وَالصَّالِحِيهَ مِهِ عِبَادِكُمْ وَإِمَائِكُمْ ۚ إِنْ يَكُونُىا فُقَرَاءَ يُغْيِهِمُ اللََُّّ مِهْ فَضْلِهِ ۗ وَاللََُّّ وَاسِعٌ عَلِيم

In his tafsir Ibn Kathir explains that this verse is sebuh command to marry as the opinion of most of the scholars compulsory marriage for those who can afford it. As for al-Maraghi, the sentence "washalihin" refers in this verse to the ability for a man or woman to marry and carry out their rights and obligations as husband and wife, such as being in good health, owning property and so on (Mustafa, 2009: 22.)

**Marriage Age Limits in Positive Law in Indonesia**

In the New Order era (1965-1998), several state laws and regulations were produced from the provisions of Islamic law. One of them is the issuance of Law Number 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage and its implementing regulations in the form of Government Regulation Number 9 of 1975. In addition, Law Number 7 of 1989 of the Religious and Presidential Instruction (Instruction ) Number 1 of 1991 concerning the Compilation of Islamic Law (KHI) which is valid to this day (Fuad, 2016: 21).

Historically, the determination of the minimum age for marriage in the Marriage Bill, which was drafted in 1973, was 21 years for men and 18 years for women. However, due to the debate at the time, finally the discussion about it was postponed. Until finally the marriage law was passed, the standard for marriage was finally lowered from 21 years to 19 years for men and from 18 years to 16 years for women. And in addition, if there are deviations from these provisions, the public has the right to apply for
dispensation to the court or other authorized official (Fuad, 2016: 25-26). Article 7 of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage states that: "The conditions for obtaining a marriage permit are a minimum of 19 years for men and 16 years for women". This provision is further emphasized in the Presidential Instruction on the Compilation of Islamic Law in Article 15 paragraph (1) which states: "For the benefit of the family and household, marriage may only be carried out by the prospective bride and groom who have reached the age stipulated in Article 7 of Law No. 1974, namely the prospective husband is at least 19 years old and the prospective wife is at least 16 years old (Fadhilah & Rahmah, 2012: 54-56).

In Indonesian laws and regulations, the conception of a person's status as a child or an adult varies widely. In addition to the marriage law above, Law Number 23 of 2002 concerning Child Protection states that a child is someone who is not yet 18 years old, including those who are still in the womb. Meanwhile, if you look at Law Number 23 of 2006 concerning Population Administration article 63 paragraph (1), it is explained that a person who is required to have a resident identity card is if he is a resident of an Indonesian citizen and a foreigner who has a permanent residence permit who is 17 years old or has been married or has been married and a foreigner who follows the status of their parents who have a permanent residence permit and are 17 years old (a copy of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 23 Year 2006 concerning Population Administration).

After the decision of the Constitutional Court which annulled Article 7 of Law Number 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage, in 2019 Law Number 16 of 2019 concerning Amendments to Law Number 1 of 1974 concerning Marriage was passed.

**Syariah and Human Rights**

Rights Human rights are basic rights inherent in every individual since he was born on earth and are not gifts from humans or the state that must be protected by the state (Muladi, 2009: 39). In Indonesian legal norms, Law Number 39 of 1999 concerning Human Rights Article 1 explains that: "Human Rights are a set of rights that are inherent in the nature and existence of humans as creatures of God Almighty and are His grace that must be respected, upheld, and protected by the State, law, government, and everyone for the sake of honor and protection of human dignity (Copy of Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 39 of 1999 concerning).

Mashood A. Baderin views that the various challenges and problems of the modern age make Islamic/Syariah law methods require rejuvenation. The challenges of modernity caused by the increasingly intimate relationship between Islam and the West have an impact on human interaction in various sectors, so Islamic law needs to expose itself to contemporary perspectives, including the human rights perspective (Baderin, 2010: 38-39).

Baderin argues that sharia should be seen as the source from which various types of legal rules or positive laws originate. According to him, in a narrow sense, sharia refers to the body of law revealed by Allah SWT, as contained in the Qur'an and the traditions (Sunnah) of the Prophet Muhammad." He agrees with what was conveyed al-Shatibi on the idea of
Maqasid al-Sharia (the goal of sharia) which was originally introduced by Imam Malik. Baderin asserted that the principle of human well-being (maslaha) should be regarded as the most important norm. Although Baderin not call this ethical principle, but clearly he supports ethical interpretation of the universality of sharia (Namli, 2013: 155-156).

Sharia and human rights discourses are often contradicted with each other. Human rights discourse is often considered as a western product that is not in accordance with the principles of sharia, which is a holy and sacred teaching of God. Sharia and Human Rights in principle fight for human values so that they always have a point of encounter. The intersection of the two is something that naturally occurs because the basis for its development stems from different sources, Sharia departs from God's revelation while human rights come from human rationality. Human rights do not need to be seen as a concept that is contrary to Islam. Both are considered to have the same vision in voicing justice, peace, equality, and tolerance (Arifin, et al.)

Many scholars and experts in Islamic law argue that in fact the values of sharia are in line with the principles of human rights. Efforts to align sharia with international human rights law have become a concern and concern for politicians and statesmen in many countries. Several scholars and jurists have tried to analyze the debate about the compatibility of Islam with human rights from various perspectives, from history to politics, and to show a picture of the evolution and gradual improvement in the model of human rights in Islam. Islam is seen as a force that brings and produces positive changes in the Arabian peninsula in the seventh century, including the egalitarian model of rights. Contribution Islam towards human rights can and should be seen in a historical, social and political kaleidoscope (Rehman, 2014).

The ideas presented by the Muslim thinker from Sudan, Abdullahi Ahmed An-Naim, are relevant to be listened to. An-Naim explained that human rights are basically universal ideas, and the General Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) is an important instrument to protect human dignity and to improve the welfare of everyone. He gave an example of the acceptance of Islamic law towards human rights, namely the case of the abolition of slavery, which of course is based on the principle of equality and equality between human beings as well as contained in universal human rights. This is as well as the acceptance of Islamic law which refers to the Makkiyah verses, which are more egalitarian, without distinction of gender, religious belief, race and others (an-Na'im, 2001).

Furthermore, in An-Na'im's view, there are three dimensions of the relationship between sharia and human rights. The form of the relationship is: first, the broad linkages between local culture and human rights, in terms of how people perceive and whether they accept or reject the moral and ethical foundations of these standards. This problem explains the extent to which the universality of human rights is accepted by a particular society (Islam) and the extent to which this particularity is accepted by the international community; secondly, the existence of previous legal linkages in the area of personal law for Muslims; and third, there is the impact of efforts to implement more comprehensive Islamic shari'ah, along with the re-emergence of Islamic revival.
Compatibility and Harmony of Sharia and Human Rights in the Decisions of the Constitutional Court

Marriage is one of God’s sacred commands in Islam. Islam instills several basic principles or principles in marriage (Muchtar, 1974), which in essence emphasizes that there should be no coercion and discrimination. The relationship between husband and wife and the relationship between parents and children in a marriage should reflect respectable human relations, parallel to human dignity itself (Summa, 2005: 49). This respect for human dignity, according to Hashim Kamali, is an Islamic teaching based on God’s word that humans are created with glory and advantages (QS: 17/70) and are assigned to be leaders on earth (QS: 2/30).

Respect (to respect), protection (to protect), and fulfillment (to fulfil) human rights is a respect for human dignity. So, why does child marriage, which often results in violence, discrimination and deprivation of children’s rights, occur in a Muslim community whose religious teachings respect and protect human dignity?

The basis of the text that is used as an assumption to justify patriarchal doctrine is usually Surah An-Nisa’ verse 34 which says "men are stronger than women". The concept of qiwama is often read textually, thus giving birth to an understanding that is not gender-friendly to various forms of injustice. According Mufidah, manifestations of injustice can be shaped stereotype, subordination, marginalization, a disproportionate workload as well as gender-based violence (Mufidah, 2013). This subordination, marginalization and gender-based violence after marriage are felt by many women, especially those who were married at a young age.

In terms of the age limit for marriage, Quraish Shihab said that the Qur’an and the Sunnah of the Prophet did not set this limit. This is in accordance with divine wisdom which never includes details about things that can undergo changes in the scriptures. The problems that he details are only those that are not accessible to human reason, such as problems metaphysical and things that cannot be changed from the human side (Copies of the Decision of the Constitutional Court Number 22/PUU-XV/2017). In line with that, Larsen views sharia as a social construction that can change according to the context and needs of the times (Lena, 2019). This means that the provisions on the age limit for marriage are not absolute and cannot be contested. The determination is indeed necessary, even must be reviewed.

In its decision to annul the provision on the marriage age limit for women, the Constitutional Court confirmed the existence of discrimination on the basis of sex which resulted in the non-fulfillment of the rights of women and children. (Convention on The Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) in article 16 paragraph (1) It is stated that: (1) States Parties shall make special efforts to eliminate discrimination against women in all matters relating to marriage and family relations, which are based on equality between men and women, in particular ensuring: a. The same right to marry. A copy of the decision of the Constitutional Court Number 22/PUU-XV/2017).

The judge’s argument is based on several things: first, the determination of the age of marriage in the marriage law shows that there
are inequalities for men and women regarding mental and physical conditions; secondly, the provision enlarges the distance left behind by women; third, the magnitude of the health and death risks for women; fourth, state discrimination against women in fulfilling the right to education; and fifth, indications of child exploitation and marriage will be vulnerable to domestic violence.

Prohibition of discrimination, fulfillment of health and education rights, protection from all forms of exploitation and violence, as well as equality for men and women in the enjoyment of their rights such as the right sipol and ESC, the main point consideration of the judge. These points are part of the four main points of the UDHR and the human rights conventions that accompany it.

The considerations of the Constitutional Court above show that the judges do not view this issue narrowly, although they still leave the implementation of the amendment to the article to the legislators, because this rule is an open legal system in the Indonesian legal system. The Constitutional Court, in the author's view, has succeeded in bringing about substantive justice in this matter. Justice is important here, because as Ibn Taimiyah said, it is only in the ideals of justice that a country can be expected to fulfill the goals for which the country was founded (Rachman, 2011: 72-73).

Regarding the importance of justice, Mahmud Saltut also explained that justice is a universal command from God, without any discrimination. The principle of justice is God's rule that is objective in nature, so that humans as God's creation must get an equal share of justice, whether Muslim or non-Muslim, male or female (Rachman, 2011: 73). Therefore, the fulfillment of women's rights and children's rights without discrimination is a very fundamental aspect of Islam. The child's right to life (Qur'an: 13/31), the child's right to receive care, care and maintenance, and the child's right to receive an education (Qur'an: 13/36) must really be fulfilled and protected, both by people old people, society, especially by the state (Kosasih, 2003: 75-77).

Jasser Auda's offer in outlining the objectives of sharia (maqashid al sharia) in this context is interesting to see. Auda divides maqasid into 3 levels: 1) General maqashid; 2) Partial maqashid; and 3) Specific maqashid (Auda, 2007: 3). In detail, Auda explained that general maqashid is aimed at the whole of Islamic law, which includes dzaruriyat and hajiyyat, with the addition of new goals, namely justice and facilities. In this concept, Auda makes a paradigm shift. If maqasid for more on protection (protection) and preservation (preservation), the concept of Auda, maqasid should also put more emphasis on human development (human development) and fulfillment of the rights (rights), which are targeted for the benefit (Auda, 2007: 3). Thus, in Auda's view, the concept of protecting offspring (hifz al-nas) should be more oriented towards protecting the family and caring for family institutions. Likewise, protecting the soul (hifz al-nafs) must emphasize the protection of human dignity and the fulfillment of human rights (Abdullah, 2012: 146).
Conclusion

Protection and fulfillment of human rights is a central issue in the issue of child marriage. The arguments built by both the applicant and the Constitutional Court show this. The decision taken by the Constitutional Court shows that the Court has returned to carrying out its duties as "the guardian of the constitution", being a bulwark guarding the constitutional rights of citizens. This paper concludes that the considerations on the basis of realizing maslahah (good or benefit) and preventing more mafsadat (badness or damage) carried out by the Constitutional Court aim to provide justice. Justice is a universal basic principle of human rights and one of the core values of Sharia. In this context, the Constitutional Court has succeeded in having a dialogue between human rights and sharia, which basically upholds respect for humanity.
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