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Abstract
This study aims to determine the influence of intellectual humility, multicultural personality (cultural empathy, open-mindedness, emotional stability, flexibility, and social initiative), religious orientation (quest religiousness, intrinsic religiousness, and extrinsic religiousness) toward religious tolerance on students of the Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University of Jakarta. Participants in this study involved 360 students using non-probability sampling as a sampling technique. As for religious tolerance measuring instrument uses a measuring instrument from RT Witenberg`s theory with modified into the scale. The intellectual humility variable uses the Comprehensive Intellectual Humility Scale (CHIS), the multicultural personality variable uses the Multicultural Personality Questionnaire Short Form (the MPQ-SF) and the religious orientation variable uses the Introduction to the New Indices of Religious Orientation (NIRO). The findings show a significant influence between intellectual humility, multicultural personality, and religious orientation toward religious tolerance with a proportion of variance of about 51%. The four significant variables are intellectual humility, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, intrinsic religiousness. While the influence of emotional stability, flexibility, social initiative, quest religiousness, and extrinsic religiousness has no significant effect. multicultural personality and religious orientation toward religious tolerance with a proportion of variance of about 51%. The four significant variables are intellectual humility, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, intrinsic religiousness. While the influence of emotional stability, flexibility, social initiative, quest religiousness, and extrinsic religiousness has no significant effect. multicultural personality and religious orientation toward religious tolerance with a proportion of variance of about 51%. The four significant variables are intellectual humility, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, intrinsic religiousness. While the influence of emotional stability, flexibility, social initiative, quest religiousness, and extrinsic religiousness has no significant effect.

Keywords: Intellectual Humility, Multicultural Personality, Religious Orientation, Religious Tolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Review Process</th>
<th>Revised</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Introduction
Indonesia is a country that has a very diverse life. This can be seen from the variety of ethnicities, cultures, languages, religions, values, norms to political views ranging from Sabang to Merauke. (Noor, 2018). Especially Indonesia has and recognizes five different religions, namely Islam, Christianity & Catholicism, Buddhism, Hinduism, and Confucianism. To achieve a harmonious life in a diverse life, especially in religious life, an important element is needed to achieve that order (Ministry of Religion RI, 2010). The element in question is religious tolerance (Maimanah, 2013).

The issue of religious tolerance in Indonesia is on the rise, this can be proven by oblique phenomena in the field such as the bombing of churches by Muslims (CNN Indonesia, 2019), burning temples in North Sumatra (Apinino, 2018), as well as a wave of anti-Muslim actions against non-Muslims.
becoming governors in DKI Jakarta (BBC, 2017; Hukmana, 2019). This phenomenon marks the emergency of the issue of religious tolerance in Indonesia because it is not following the country’s motto, namely Bhineka Tunggal Ika. Even so, on the other hand, there is still a tolerant phenomenon in religion in Indonesia. For example, the film "the santri" was appointed between Muslims and Christians (Ambassador, 2019) and the acceptance of non-Muslim students at UIN Jakarta and live in peace (Fauzan, 2018). The phenomenon in the field is still lack of awareness of tolerance between religious communities in Indonesia.

According to Witenberg (2007), Tolerance means a conscious acknowledgment to have positive judgments and beliefs that involve the principles of justice, equality, caring, and considering the circumstances of others or respecting each other on the principle of equality despite differences in religion, nationalism, ethnicity, and others. Tolerance is a vital organ as a stable and fair system in life in a pluralist society (Verkuyten, 2010). Because tensions and differences are commonplace in a pluralistic or pluralist society, they need to be accepted and endured in it (Mummendey & Wenzel, 1999; Verkuyten & Yogeeswaran, 2016). Even though you don’t like it, you still have to show warmth, accept it, and live regularly in it (Allport, 1954; Allport & Ross, 1967). Therefore, religious tolerance is vital for a harmonious life, especially in Indonesia, which has a variety of different and accepting religions.

Research on religious tolerance has been widely carried out, especially by western researchers. A study conducted on 149 people working on the Turkish Amazon website showed that religious tolerance is influenced by intellectual humility (IH). (Tongeren, Stafford, et al., 2016). Then another researcher revealed that 196 Christian Pastors in the United States had religious tolerance which was influenced by IH (Hook et al., 2016). Other researchers even state that IH is an antecedent in religious conflicts and increases religious tolerance in the United States (Zhang et al., 2015). From this study, IH is a good predictor of increasing religious tolerance. This is because by having IH, individuals will be open to differences, can change their views when they are wrong, and also forgive others even though they have different religions. (Hook et al., 2015, 2016). Even so, as far as the author's reading, research on IH in Indonesia has not been touched in predicting religious tolerance.

Korol (2017) found that there is a positive influence between multicultural personality (MP) on ethnic tolerance in Portugal. Research conducted on 270 students in Portugal shows that several dimensions of MP have a significant influence on tolerance, including open-mindedness and flexibility. However, another research conducted by him that positive and significant attitude towards differences is only influenced by open-mindedness and social initiative (L. Korol, 2018).

Then another study involving 245 other Portuguese students found that MP had a positive effect on tolerance for differences, and open-mindedness consistently had a positive and significant effect. (LD Korol & Cabral, 2016). Likewise with research conducted in a different culture such as India. Research conducted on 236 postgraduate students in India showed a significant influence between the dimensions of MP, namely open-mindedness and flexibility on religious tolerance by 11%. Looking at the research above, open-mindedness consistently has a positive and significant effect on religious tolerance, but not with other dimensions.

Furthermore, research in the variable religious orientation (RO). The first research conducted on 159 Christian students showed
that there was an influence between religiousness quests on positive attitudes or tolerance towards non-Christian students. (Tongeren, Hakim, et al., 2016). The research only involves the quest of religiousness because it assumes that people with a religious quest orientation tend to be open to new things and differences (Batson & Schoenrade, 1991b, 1991a; Francis, 2007).

However, in previous research, it was found that the religiousness quest had nothing to do with tolerance or intolerance (Fisher et al., 1994). Meanwhile, in other studies, religious orientation with extrinsic dimensions or extrinsic religiousness has a significant influence on prejudice or intolerance (Allport & Ross, 1967).

However, in other studies, it is stated that intrinsic religiousness has a strong prejudice against differences (Tsang & Rowatt, 2007). Altemeyer (2003) states that prejudice is closely related to having zero tolerance. From this study, the religious orientation variable is interesting to study to predict religious tolerance in the Indonesian context.

The theories used in this study include religious tolerance from Witenberg. According to him, religious tolerance is a conscious positive assessment of differences and respect for others based on equality and fulfills three dimensions, namely fairness, empathy, and reasonableness. (RT Witenberg, 2019; Rivka T. Witenberg, 2007, 2019). Intellectual Humility is defined as being aware of one's shortcomings, especially in self-view, followed by openness to review one's point of view, not being overconfident in a view or idea, respecting the views of others, and not bullying when there is a conflict of thought. (Krumrei-mancuso, 2016; Krumrei-mancuso & Rouse, 2016).

Then multicultural personality is a detailed measurement of individual aspects of relevant traits when interacting in diversity, where MP has five dimensions, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, emotional stability, flexibility, and social initiative. (Oudenhoven & Zee, 2002; K. Van Der Zee et al., 2013; KI Van Der Zee & Oudenhoven, 2000, 2001). While religious orientation is a person's religious orientation by having three dimensions that are used, quest, intrinsic and extrinsic religiousness. (Francis, 2007).

The three variables above in previous studies influence explaining religious tolerance. All variables that are used as predictors, namely intellectual humility, multicultural personality, and religious orientation are internal variables from humans so that their measurement of religious tolerance needs to be raised. These variables also have several nuances of similarity such as openness to different new things, empathy for others, flexibility and always seeing individual motives in behavior. In addition, as far as the authors search, there is still no research that discussed religious tolerance using these three variables. Research on the variables above is mostly tested on samples abroad, so it is very important to see it in the Indonesian context.

Based on the explanation above, it is interesting to conduct a study, especially research with the title: "The Effect Of Intellectual Humility, Multicultural Personality, and Religious Orientation Toward Religious Tolerance on Students Of Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta”.

Research Methods

The population in this study were students of UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta. The criteria used in selecting the sample in this study are as follows: (1) Students of the State Islamic University Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, (2) Muslim, (3) minimum 4th semester, and (4) minimum age of 20 years. The sampling technique is a non-probability
sampling technique with the purposive sampling method because it is based on criteria that are following the purpose of this study. The number of participating samples was 360 students from various faculties, followed by 141 men and 219 women with an age range between 20 and 25 years.

The measuring instrument of this study used a Likert scale with 4 scales, ranging from Totally Disagree (Sangat Tidak Setuju-STS), Disagree (Tidak Setuju-TS), Agree (Setuju-S), and Totally Agree (Sangat Setuju-SS). The process of analyzing the validity of the measuring instrument in this study used Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). After testing the validity of the measuring instrument, the next process is an analysis using multiple regression with the help of IBM SPSS 21 software.

Religious Tolerance Scale
The measuring instrument used in measuring religious tolerance was compiled and adapted from the theory developed by Rivka T. Witenberg (2007) with three dimensions, fairness, empathy, and reasonableness as many as 30 items. Testing this measuring instrument produces a coefficient of fit index in the form of chi-square = 623.37, df = 312, RMSEA = 0.05 p-value for test of close fit (RMSEA < 0.05) = 0.22, percent confidence interval for RMSEA = (0.047; 0.059). The religious tolerance model with the index coefficient can be said to be fit (Browne & Cudeck, 1992; Clogg & Bollen, 1991; Jöreskog & Sörbom, 1993).

Intelectual Humility Scale
The intellectual humility measuring instrument uses the Comprehensive Intellectual Humility Scale (CHIS) adapted to the Indonesian context as many as 22 items (Krumrei-mancuso & Rouse, 2016). This measuring instrument has quite good psychometric properties, namely p-value: 0.793, RMSEA: 0.46, 90% CI 0.039-0.054. After being tested on the respondents of this study, the model generated by this measuring instrument is chi-square = 156.87, df = 133, p-value = 0.077, RMSEA = 0.02.

Multicultural Personality Scale
Meanwhile, for multicultural personality, we used the Multicultural Personality Questionnaire Short Form (the MPQ-SF) which consists of five dimensions, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, emotional stability, flexibility, and social initiative which consists of 39 items. All dimensions in this measuring instrument have good quality validity test results. The dimension of cultural empathy has p-value=0.144 and RMSEA=0.035. The open-mindedness dimension has p-value=0.060 and RMSEA=0.041. Then the emotional stability dimension has p-value = 0.074 and RMSEA = 0.04. While the flexibility dimension has a p-value=0.14 and RMSEA=0.03. Finally, the social initiative dimension has p-value=0.085 and RMSEA=0.043.

Religious Orientation Scale
As for measuring religious orientation, we used the New Indices of Religious Orientation (NIRO) with three dimensions, quest religiousness, intrinsic religiousness, and extrinsic religiousness as many as 18 items. (Francis, 2007). In this measuring tool, the construct validity test produces a fit model with an index, for the religiousness quest dimension it has p-value = 0.108 and RMSEA = 0.047. The intrinsic religiousness dimension has p-value=0.324 and RMSEA=0.024. Finally, the extrinsic religiousness dimension has p-value=0.265 and RMSEA=0.028.

Results and Discussion
Referring to table 1 below, it can be seen that the R Square of 0.510 is obtained. This indicates that religious tolerance is
explained by all independent variables in this study with a variance proportion of 51%, while
the remaining 49% is influenced by other variables that are not explained in this study.

Tables, Figures, and Formulas

### Table 1. Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.714a</td>
<td>.510</td>
<td>.497</td>
<td>7.0897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Extrinsic Religiousness, Emotional Stability, Intellectual Humility, Quest Religiousness, Flexibility, Cultural Empathy, Social Initiative, Intrinsic Religiousness, Open-Mindedness

After knowing the proportion of variance, the next step is the F test to see the effect of all independent variables on religious tolerance is significant or not. Based on table 3, it is known that the value of Sig. of 0.000 which means that it is significant with the provisions of the value is Sig. <0.05. This means that the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant effect of intellectual humility, multicultural personality, and religious orientation on religious tolerance is rejected. This indicates a significant influence between intellectual humility, multicultural personality, and religious orientation on religious tolerance.

### Table 2. Anova

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18307.22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2034.136</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>.000b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regressions</td>
<td>17592.776</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50,265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Total</td>
<td>35900.000</td>
<td>359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Religious Tolerance
b. Predictors: (Constant), Extrinsic Religiousness, Emotional Stability, Intellectual Humility, Quest Religiousness, Flexibility, Cultural Empathy, Social Initiative, Intrinsic Religiousness, Open-Mindedness

After testing F, the next step is to look at the regression coefficients of each of the variables studied on religious tolerance. The criteria used are if the value of Sig. <0.05, then the regression coefficient is significant for that variable. The following is the magnitude of the regression coefficient of each variable can be seen in Table 3 below.

### Table 3. Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandard Coef</th>
<th>Standard Coef</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1(Cont.)</td>
<td>13.436</td>
<td>.4923</td>
<td>2.729</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH</td>
<td>.532</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>.532</td>
<td>11.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>4.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>.135</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.135</td>
<td>2.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>1.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>-.053</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>-.053</td>
<td>-.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.039</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>-.185</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>-.185</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Referring to the results of the research above, the intellectual humility (IH) variable has the largest proportion of influence which is 44.2% on religious tolerance. The results of this study are supported by other studies which

From table 4 which refers to the criteria of Sig. <0.05, it can be seen that four variables have a significant influence on religious tolerance, namely intellectual humility, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, and intrinsic religiousness, while the other five variables have an insignificant effect on religious tolerance.

Referring to the results of the research above, the intellectual humility (IH) variable has the largest proportion of influence which is 44.2% on religious tolerance. The results of this study are supported by other studies which
Another dimension is open-mindedness which has a positive and significant influence on religious tolerance. The focus is on openness and not having a prejudice against those who have different religions and values from themselves or their group (K. Van Der Zee et al., 2013; Kl Van Der Zee & Oudenhoven, 2001). Open individuals will tend to be without prejudice, even though what other people bring they don't agree with but still show enthusiasm for it. An open mind is a reflection of a person who is broad-minded, flexible, intellectual, and an extraordinary thinker (Butrus & Witenberg, 2013).

The next dimension is emotional stability, which has a positive but not significant effect in explaining religious tolerance. The results of this study support previous studies that are influential but not significant (Gawali & Khattar, 2016). Emotional stability showed insignificant results probably because this dimension is more about the struggle within the individual, how the emotional changes that occur to him.

The dimensions of flexibility and social initiative showed no significant effect on religious tolerance. For the flexibility dimension, it may occur because of the weakness of the item that does not test the unfavorable item so that there may be a bias that occurs. While the social initiative dimension is in line with previous research which has an effect but is not significant (LD Korol & Cabral, 2016).

Another variable tested in this study is religious orientation. This variable has three dimensions, quest, intrinsic and extrinsic religiousness. Quest religiousness has a positive but not significant effect in explaining religious tolerance. It was found that the attitude of the quest characterizes people who are open to differences and continue to search, so they tend not to have a prejudice against
The differences (Altemeyer, 2003; Altemeyer & Hunsberger, 1992).

The next dimension is intrinsic religiousness. This dimension has a negative and significant influence in explaining religious tolerance. When a person has a high intrinsic religious orientation, it is likely to have a low tolerance. This result is not in line with previous studies which tend to have low levels of prejudice and are more tolerant of differences (Allport & Ross, 1967; Fisher et al., 1994).

The possibility is that there are differences in the respondents tested, in this study testing respondents from Islam against non-Muslims. The next dimension is extrinsic religiousness. The results of this dimension research show that it does not have a significant and negative effect. So are Allport & Ross (1967) stated that people whose religious orientation is extrinsic will tend to be prejudiced against others because religious motives are driven by worldly things such as relationships, social, economic, and so on.

Conclusion

Based on the results of the research above, it can be concluded that the influence of intellectual humility, multicultural personality, and religious orientation on religious tolerance has an effect of 51% and the effect is significant. The contribution of the largest proportion of variance comes from the intellectual humility variable, which is 44.2%. In addition, several variables have a significant effect, including intellectual humility, cultural empathy, open-mindedness, and intrinsic religiousness, while the rest have no significant effect on religious tolerance.

With this research, we hoped that there will be training on IH attitudes, especially utilizing frequent discussions and together in forums with people who have different religions. For example, students are allowed to participate in international forums whose participants have diverse backgrounds. This polite attitude, openness to new things, and accepting differences also need to be highlighted by religious leaders, political figures, and other influencers so that listeners can imitate what they are doing.

The results of the influence of open-mindedness on religious tolerance show the importance of cognitive flexibility and open-mindedness during a diverse life. Cognitive flexibility helps individuals to be less rigid in thinking about change and difference. A diverse society, especially in religious differences, when living interacting with different people of course needs to adapt to that person.

In addition, it can be said that religious orientation is not only related to individual worship but also related to social aspects of religion. This means that aspects of worship are related to goodness in social life. This will foster high concern and empathy for people's lives, thereby minimizing the tension of being able to live the lives of others.
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